



Committee on

HOUSE ADMINISTRATION REPUBLICANS

Ranking Member Rodney Davis

Voter Impact of H.R. 1

1. H.R. 1 takes hard-earned taxpayer dollars and puts them into the campaigns of politicians.

- H.R. 1 creates a 6:1 government match for all small donor contributions of \$200 or less, meaning for every \$200, the federal government will match \$1,200. With approximately \$4.3 million allowed per candidate, this program could cost billions of dollars each year.

What does this mean for my constituents?

- More money in campaigns means more political ads on TV, radio, and on your social media funded by you, the taxpayer.
- Taxpayer dollars will be going to support entrenched politicians and political consultants who your constituents don't agree with or want to support.
- Taxpayer dollars will be paying politicians' campaign salaries.

2. H.R. 1 increases the election system's vulnerability for fraud.

- H.R. 1 creates a "one size fits all" federally mandated approach to voter registration and voting practices that will force states to adopt election practices with no safeguards.

What does this mean for my constituents?

- H.R. 1 allows acceptance of sworn statements of identification in place of government issued ID which will lower the integrity of the voter registration and voting system.
- Mandatory acceptance of provisional ballots at any location means if someone votes at the wrong polling precinct, their ballot must be counted. Those votes could sway local elections not applicable to the voter.
- Same-day registration will become mandatory, which causes confusion for election administrators and opens the door for fraud, because it's difficult to verify residents and timely to register a voter.
- States are forced to adopt automatic and online voter registration with no safeguards and no options to maintain voter rolls.

3. H.R. 1 places limits on free speech, protected by the First Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.

- H.R. 1 proposes to limit free speech and imposes vague standards that disadvantage all groups who wish to advocate on any legislative issue.

What does this mean for my constituents?

- It restricts speech by requiring groups speaking about federal candidates and elected officials to now file more reports with the FEC.
- It would require groups speaking about legislative and policy issues to file FEC reports for "campaign-related disbursements," even if the speech has no impact on any election campaign and wasn't said in an election year.
- It would decrease the amount of speech that groups can engage in by increasing the length of mandatory disclaimers in their messages and requiring messages to identify donors.
- These are radical changes to the long-held ability of Americans to speak and associate with other Americans on the issues about which they are passionate without government intrusion.