April 15, 2022

The Honorable Zoe Lofgren  
Chairperson  
Committee on House Administration  
1309 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Rodney Davis  
Ranking Member  
Committee on House Administration  
1216 Longworth House Office Building  
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairperson Lofgren and Ranking Member Davis,

Pursuant to Section 502 of House Resolution 756 from the 116th Congress, I am submitting this quarterly report about the Comparative Print Project.

As the Committee is aware, the Comparative Print Suite is a set of applications that allows House staff and others to create on-demand, point-in-time comparative prints between legislative texts and between legislative text and the law. My staff in Legislative Computer Systems (LCS), in partnership with the House Office of the Legislative Counsel (HOLC) and our contractors, lead the project team.

As I mentioned in my April 6, 2022, statement before the House Appropriations Subcommittee on the Legislative Branch, the project team is continuing to refine the system and completing tasks related to the cloud infrastructure.¹ The Comparative Print Suite will share this cloud infrastructure with the Legislative Information Management System (LIMS) currently under redevelopment and redesign, as well as the new and improved eHopper. My staff is working closely with our contracting staff and our internal partners in the Office of the Chief Administrative Officer to ensure a stable and secure cloud infrastructure. When complete, the

cloud infrastructure will pave the way for more robust, accelerated deliveries of products, features, and change requests.

We are looking forward to delivering the Comparative Print Suite House-wide pending the completion of the migration to the cloud and its required security audits.

Since the last report, we have hosted two Committee Pilot training sessions with more than 50 Committee staff. Currently, more than 200 staff from House Committees, HOLC, the Congressional Budget Office, the Congressional Research Service, and the Joint Committee on Taxation have access to the software. The group’s feedback about the usability, desirability, learnability, and accuracy of the system continues to be positive and helpful.

As we have done in the past, we will continue to update the Committee and stakeholders about the project’s progress. If you or others on the Committee have questions about this report, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Cheryl L. Johnson
Clerk of the House